

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,827	06/29/2001	Yuuichi Fukushige	Q64663	3721
	7590 02/09/2004		EXAM	IINER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			CHU, JOHN S Y	
	sylvania Avenue, N.W. n, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
w asimigto	ii, DC 20037-3213		1752	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commence of	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/894,827	FUKUSHIGE ET AL					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John S. Chu	1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	• • • • • • •						
10. Other:	(e)(e e) . apo	·					
		John S. Chu Primary Examiner					
		Art Unit 1752					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment adding new claim 22 inserts the language of previous claim 3 into claim 1. With this amendment, the claims previously not dependent on previous claim 3 require further considerationand /or search for the new scope now recited requiring the presence of a heat-responsive microcapsule..